

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

To be more precise, an object of the invention is to
10 determine a precise correspondence between points of the
terrain over which the radio network is deployed and
traffic in terms of calls between mobile
telecommunications terminals and base stations.

Knowing this correspondence, a telecommunications operator is able to identify areas in which the traffic is too high in relation to the resources (in particular base stations) deployed and to envisage pertinent corrective action.

20 Prior art solutions associate a traffic value with
each cell.

A cell is a geographical area in which all (or substantially all) the mobile terminals are connected to the same base station. As a mobile terminal moves
25 around, the call set up with one base station can be degraded to the point that it is necessary to set up a new call to another base station, i.e. to change cell. This mechanism is generally referred to as handover.

Telemate Parcell software is one example of that
30 prior art.

That kind of solution is not satisfactory, however. This is because the cells are usually relatively large. The accuracy is therefore low and the traffic value obtained for a given cell is usually not particularly
35 representative of reality.

For example, in an urban context, the size of a cell is approximately 300 m by 300 m. An area this large can

[illegible]

encompass both high traffic areas (commercial or industrial centers, etc.) and low traffic areas (parks, residential areas, etc.). In this case, the overall traffic value is the average of the traffic values for the high and low traffic areas. Consequently, even if the traffic value for one of the high traffic areas is extremely high, and would necessitate corrective action (by adding a base station to divide the cell into two cells, for example), the traffic value over the cell as a whole might be entirely normal. Thus no alarm could be generated and, more importantly, no corrective action taken.

It is therefore very important to obtain a representation of the traffic that is more precise than that obtained at cell level.

OBJECT AND SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

An object of the present invention is to provide this kind of representation. To this end, the present invention provides a method of constructing a representation of the geographical distribution of traffic for a cellular radio network. The method comprises the steps of:

- dividing each cell of said cellular network into a set of areas using information on handovers obtained from said cellular network;
- determining a traffic value for each of said areas; and
- determining a representation of the geographical distribution of the traffic from the previously computed traffic values.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The invention and its advantages become more clearly apparent in the course of the following description, which is given with reference to the accompanying drawings.

Figure 1 shows a device for implementing a method according to the invention.

Figure 2 is a flowchart showing the steps of a method in accordance with the invention.

Figures 3 and 4 show steps of the method by means of an example of an area in the vicinity of a cell.

5

MORE DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Figure 1 shows a device for implementing a method in accordance with the invention. The device comprises a mobile telecommunications network RM including a set of base stations $B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots B_n$ having means for communicating via electromagnetic waves with a set of mobile terminals $T_1, T_2, T_3, \dots T_n$.

The mobile telecommunications network RM is connected to one or more management systems in the form of operation and maintenance centers (OMC) as defined by the GSM 12.00 standard. The management systems OMC provide local supervision of the equipment of the mobile telecommunications network. They are part of a telecommunications management network (TMN), not shown in the figure. The functions of the TMN and OMC are conventionally divided into five groups:

- Management of mobile telecommunications network equipment faults,
- Configuration management,
- Performance management,
- Call charging management, and
- Security management.

To this end, the TMN and OMC have access to information relating to the equipment and in particular to the base stations $B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots B_n$.

That information is made up of indicators which are defined in part by the GSM 12.04 standard. The standard defines only relatively low level indicators. Most manufacturers add to these standard indicators other indicators that are often obtained by combining indicators from the GSM standard.

Examples of indicators are:

- An indicator that represents the channel

occupation time,

- An indicator that represents call attempts (which can be regarded as a traffic estimator),
- An indicator that represents the number of incoming handovers, and
- An indicator that represents the number of outgoing handovers.

A distinction is made hereinafter between incoming handovers and outgoing handovers.

An outgoing handover takes place in a first cell when a mobile terminal sets up a new connection to the base station of a second cell. An incoming handover takes place in the first cell in the opposite situation, i.e. when a mobile terminal sets up a new connection to the base station of the first cell. In other words, if a mobile terminal moves from a first cell to a second cell (handover), there is an outgoing handover in the first cell and an incoming handover in the second cell.

The device shown further includes a database DB for storing data from the management system OMC. The database stores information relating to the mobile telecommunications network equipment over a long period, for example several months. This provides a long-term overview of the behavior of the mobile telecommunications network RM, and is therefore independent of episodic phenomena that can affect the network.

Also, the device shown in Figure 1 includes a server BSM providing one or more best server maps. These maps are generally created and used when planning the cellular telecommunications network. They establish the correspondence between points in a geographical area and the base station to which a mobile terminal at that point would most probably be connected.

They are based on models of the geographical area and electromagnetic wave propagation conditions and provide a theoretical knowledge of the geographical extent of the cells.

Figure 2 is a flowchart of the method used by a planning tool P.

The first step E_1 shown in Figure 2 divides the cells into subcells using information relating to handovers obtained from the management system OMC and stored in the database DB.

A first substep computes the boundaries of incoming handovers. This step is usually a step in the construction of the best server maps. The incoming handover boundary can therefore be made available by the server BSM.

Note that a cell of the best server map consists of points in space at which the power of the signal received by a mobile from a base station ($B_1, B_2, B_3, \dots B_n$) is greater than that received from other base stations of the network.

That power is not constant within a cell, however. The power can be fairly low, in particular near the cell boundaries. The incoming handover boundary is then defined as the locus of points for which the power is above a particular threshold.

An outgoing handover boundary is then computed from the incoming handover boundary. The outgoing handover boundary can be defined as the curve at a distance d from the incoming handover boundary, the distance d being computed by multiplying the average speed of the mobile terminals over a cell by their channel occupation time. Note that the distance d is different for each cell.

The channel occupation time can be supplied directly by an indicator provided by the management system OMC.

The average speed of the mobile terminals is a parameter of the method. It can be determined either once and for all or each time that the method according to the invention is used.

Figure 3 shows the computation of the outgoing handover boundary. The cell C_1 has adjacent cells C_2, C_3, C_4 and C_5 . The management system OMC supplies the

incoming handover boundary HOE. As previously indicated, the outgoing handover boundary HOS can then be computed.

The outgoing handover boundary HOS is located in the adjacent cells C_2 , C_3 , C_4 and C_5 , which it divides into two parts: one short of the boundary and one beyond it.

The next step E_2 shown in Figure 2 uses the outgoing handover boundaries to determine areas within each cell.

In the same way, outgoing handover boundaries can be computed for each of the adjacent cells, as shown in Figure 4.

The dashed lines HOS_2 , HOS_3 , HOS_4 and HOS_5 represent the respective outgoing handover boundaries computed for the cells C_2 , C_3 , C_4 and C_5 .

Those four boundaries, corresponding to the four cells adjacent the cell C_1 , divide the cells C_1 into nine areas z_1 , z_2 , z_3 , z_4 , z_5 , z_6 , z_7 , z_8 and z_9 .

The next step E_3 shown in Figure 2 determines a traffic value associated with each area.

This can be done by minimizing the differences between the traffic values of two adjacent areas. It is assumed that the traffic is a continuous function and that there must be no discontinuities in the model.

Hereinafter, λ_i denotes the traffic value for area i . The above principle can then be expressed in the form of a function f to be minimized:

$$f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \cdots \lambda_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_i$$

in which n is the number of areas and ∇_i is the sum of the differences between the traffic value of area i and the traffic values of all the areas adjacent it.

The above expression can take various forms.

It can be expressed in quadratic form:

$$\nabla_i = \sum_{j \in V_i} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2$$

where V_i is the set of indices of areas adjacent area i .

The value ∇_i can also be expressed in linear form:

$$\nabla_i = \sum_{j \in V_i} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|$$

It is also possible to write two constraints that the traffic values $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_n$ must respect:

- The sum of the traffic values of the areas of a cell must be equal to the traffic value for that cell.
- The sum of the estimated handovers for each area of a cell must be equal to the number of handovers for that cell.

The first constraint for each cell i can be written as follows:

$$\sum_{k \in J(i)} \lambda_k = t_i$$

in which $J(i)$ is the set of indices of the areas belonging to cell i and t_i is the traffic value for cell i . The traffic value t_i is known to the management system OMC.

The second constraint enables the following equation to be written for each pair of cells (C_i, C_j) :

$$\alpha_1 \cdot \sum_{k \in J_1(i,j)} \lambda_k + \alpha_2 \cdot \sum_{k \in J_2(i,j)} \lambda_k = HO(i,j)$$

in which equation $HO(i, j)$ represents the number of handovers from cell i to cell j .

In the above expression, a distinction is made between two types of area contained in the cell C_i :

- on the one hand, areas near the cell C_i . For these areas, the probability a_1 that a call will be subject to a handover is relatively high. The set of these areas is denoted $J_1(i,j)$.
- on the other hand, the other areas of the cell C_i . For these areas, the probability a_2 that a call will be subject to a handover is relatively low.

The set of these areas is denoted $J_2(I, j)$. Note that $\forall I, j \ J_1(i, j) \cup J_2(i, j) = J(i)$, the symbol \cup denoting the union of two sets.

For example, referring to Figure 4, if the handovers occur between the cell C_1 and the cell C_2 , the following constraint equation can be written:

$$\alpha_1 \cdot [\lambda_6 + \lambda_9 + \lambda_3] + \alpha_2 \cdot [\lambda_4 + \lambda_5 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_7 + \lambda_8 + \lambda_1] = HO(1, 2)$$

A function of n variables to be minimized under $p \leq n$ constraints is therefore obtained. This optimization problem is conventional in itself, and can be solved by methods known in the art.

For example, see "*Practical Methods of Optimization: constrained Optimization*", R. Fletcher, Wiley & Sons, 1981. A preferred approach uses the quadratic form of the criterion $f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and solves the problem by an iterative method, which produces traffic values λ_i all of which are non-zero and in accordance with the operational constraints.

The values of the probabilities α_1 and α_2 can be determined empirically in some cases.

However, in a preferred embodiment of the invention these values are computed in a constraint minimization step, at the same time as the other variables.

This is because, in most cases, it is not possible to obtain a pair (α_1, α_2) that has a constant value over the network. It is necessary to consider a pair $[\alpha_1(i, j), \alpha_2(i, j)]$ for each pair of cells between which there are handovers.

For the remainder of the description, it is therefore more pertinent to consider the proportion Q between the values of α_1 and α_2 , that proportion being defined by the equation:

$$\alpha_2(i, j) = Q \cdot \alpha_1(i, j), \quad \forall i, j$$

The proportion Q can be fixed empirically, for example at a value close to $\frac{1}{2}$.

The second constraint can then written in the form:

$$\alpha_1(i, j) \cdot \left[\sum_{k \in J_1(i, j)} \lambda_k + \sum_{k \in J_2(i, j)} \lambda_k + (Q-1) \cdot \sum_{k \in J_2(i, j)} \lambda_k \right] = HO(i, j)$$

Because $\sum_{k \in J(i)} \lambda_k = t_i$ and $J_1(i, j) \cup J_2(i, j) = J(i)$, the above expression can be written:

$$t_i + (Q-1) \cdot \sum_{k \in J_2(i, j)} \lambda_k = \frac{1}{\alpha_1(i, j)} \cdot HO(i, j)$$

5 Assuming that the probability of a mobile effecting a handover in an area of type " α_1 " (i.e. near another cell) is non-zero, it can be stated that $p_{ij} = \frac{1}{\alpha_1(i, j)}$.

The following expression is then obtained for the second constraint:

$$10 \quad (Q-1) \cdot \sum_{k \in J_2(i, j)} \lambda_k - p_{ij} \cdot HO(i, j) = -t_i$$

To take account of the fact that the probabilities are not known, the expression of the criterion to be minimized must be modified. The criterion \underline{f} then becomes a function of the traffic values λ_i and the handover probabilities $\alpha_1(i, j)$.

For example:

$$S(\Lambda, A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \in V(i)} \left[\frac{t_i}{HO(i, j)} - p_{ij} \right]^2$$

where $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $A = [\alpha_1(1, 1); \alpha_1(1, 2) \dots \alpha_1(1, V(1)); \alpha_1(2, 1); \alpha_1(2, 2) \dots \alpha_1(2, V(2)) \dots \alpha_1(n, 1); \alpha_1(n, V(n))]$

The criterion can be minimized, subject to the constraints previously stated, using prior art methods, such as those previously referred to.